

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/636,053	08/10/2000	Goro Fujita	SONY-T0964	4237	
22850	22850 7590 06/21/2004			EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			HINDI, NABIL Z		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ŕ		2655	10	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004	, ,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annti-stion No.	A - Ho and a			
• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	09/636,053	FUJITA, GORO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	NABIL Z HINDI	2655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 December 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5-7,10,11 and 13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3, 4, 8, 9 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. 	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/636,053

Art Unit: 2655

In response to applicant's pre-amendment dated Aug. 10, 2000 and the granted petition dated June 03, 2004. The following action is taken:

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 10, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuji (5617400).

The rejected claims merely read on a magneto optical disk wherein the readout signal is optimized by detecting the read out amplitude and comparing it to a reference signal in order to control the aperture. Such system is well established in the art as acknowledged by applicant on page 4 referring to the JP 8-63817. The US corresponding reference shows the use of controlling the aperture in a magneto optical disk, the readout signal is sampled and a read out resolution is determined for the marks length, determine the level of the readout signal, comparing the readout signal to a reference value and controlling the window (aperture) based on the comparing result as shown in fig 1 elements 4, 5, 7 and 8. In addition, the use of an average value is merely an alternative mathematical operation as opposed to a ratio, dividing... etc in order to obtain the desired result and obvious to one skilled in the art.

Claims 3, 4, 8, 9 and 12 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the cited prior art shows or teaches a resolution detection means based on the quotient equation as claimed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 6278667.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL.HINDI at 308-1555.

> PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2500